



Possibility of Incorporating Artificial Intelligence Tools into the Contents of Undergraduate Biology Courses: The Genetics Curriculum as an Example

Fathi Ali Altaher Alboueishi^{1*}, Ahmed Al-Bashir Mohammed Absisa²

¹ Advanced Center for Plant Research and Complementary Medicine, University of Zawia, Libya

² Department of Medical Professions, Higher Institute of Science and Technology, Tiji, Libya

إمكانية دمج أدوات الذكاء الاصطناعي في محتويات مقررات علم الأحياء الجامعية:
منهج علم الوراثة كمثال

فتحي علي الطاهر البوعيشي^{1*}، احمد الباشير امحمد ابسيصة²

¹ مركز البحوث النباتية والطب التكميلي، جامعة الزاوية، ليبيا

² قسم المهن الطبية، المعهد العالي للعلوم والتقنية، تيجي، ليبيا

*Corresponding author: fathi.elpoashi@zu.edu.ly

Received: November 26, 2024

Accepted: January 08, 2025

Published: January 18, 2025

Abstract:

This research explores the potential of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) tools into the genetics curriculum within an undergraduate biology program. The study analyzes existing genetics courses, identifies teaching gaps, and proposes AI solutions to enhance learning experiences. The research examines the potential of AI for personalized learning, interactive content, real-time feedback, advanced data analytics, and visualization of genetic concepts. A hypothetical virtual experiment is presented that illustrates how AI can be used to analyze genetic data and predict a child's genotype from parental data. The research also addresses ethical and practical challenges associated with integrating AI into the genetics curriculum, including bias in algorithms, privacy concerns, transparency issues, and the need for training and support for educators. It highlights the importance of collaborative efforts among educators, researchers, developers, and policymakers to ensure the ethical and effective integration of AI into education. The paper concludes by recommending further research to assess the impact of AI tools on student learning outcomes, develop best practices for their implementation, and ensure responsible use of AI in genetics education. This research contributes to the growing body of work exploring the potential and challenges of integrating AI into education, particularly in the field of genetics.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI) - Genetics – Biology Education - Curriculum Development - Ethical Considerations.

المخلص

يستكشف هذا البحث إمكانية دمج أدوات الذكاء الاصطناعي في مناهج علم الوراثة ضمن برنامج علم الأحياء الجامعي. وتحلل الدراسة دورات علم الوراثة الحالية، وتحدد فجوات التدريس، وتقدم حلول الذكاء الاصطناعي لتعزيز تجارب التعلم. ويفحص البحث إمكانات الذكاء الاصطناعي في التعلم الشخصي، والمحتوى التفاعلي، وردود الفعل في الوقت الفعلي، وتحليلات البيانات المتقدمة، وتصور المفاهيم الوراثية. يتم تقديم تجربة افتراضية توضح كيف يمكن استخدام الذكاء الاصطناعي لتحليل البيانات الوراثية والتنبؤ بالنمط الجيني للطفل من بيانات الوالدين. كما يتناول البحث التحديات الأخلاقية والعملية المرتبطة بدمج الذكاء الاصطناعي في مناهج علم الوراثة، بما في ذلك التحيز في الخوارزميات، ومخاوف الخصوصية، وقضايا الشفافية، والحاجة إلى التدريب والدعم للمعلمين. ويسلط الضوء على أهمية الجهود التعاونية بين

المعلمين والباحثين والمطورين وصناع السياسات لضمان التكامل الأخلاقي والفعال للذكاء الاصطناعي في التعليم. ويختتم البحث بالتوصية بمزيد من البحث لتقييم تأثير أدوات الذكاء الاصطناعي على نتائج التعلم لدى الطلاب، وتطوير أفضل الممارسات لتنفيذها، وضمان الاستخدام المسؤول للذكاء الاصطناعي في تعليم علم الوراثة. يساهم هذا البحث في مجموعة الأعمال المتنامية التي تستكشف إمكانات وتحديات دمج الذكاء الاصطناعي في التعليم، وخاصة في مجال علم الوراثة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذكاء الاصطناعي - علم الوراثة - علم الأحياء التعليم - تطوير المناهج الدراسية - الاعتبارات الأخلاقية.

Introduction

Genetics is a fundamental cornerstone in the study of botany, providing deep insights into the molecular mechanisms governing plant growth and development. The teaching of this science in university biology departments is gaining increasing importance due to its vital role in understanding biological diversity, improving crops, and solving contemporary environmental challenges. However, the teaching of genetics in universities faces several challenges, including the complexity of molecular concepts, the difficulty in visualizing microscopic genetic processes, and the rapid evolution of the field, which requires continuous curricular updates. These challenges force us to seek innovative means to improve the teaching and learning process.

In 1956, the term "Artificial Intelligence" (AI) first appeared at a conference organized by American computer scientist John McCarthy at Dartmouth College in the United States. This conference marked the beginning of this promising field. Subsequently, AI progressed rapidly, culminating in its use for launching spacecraft in 1979, which made AI move from a mere scientific concept to a tangible reality. The year 2018 was a major turning point for AI as it significantly expanded in practical applications, becoming a key tool across all sectors, moving from research labs to everyday uses such as navigation aid, traffic avoidance, and employing virtual assistants to perform various tasks (Al-Mahdi, 2021).

In the context of education, AI emerges as a promising tool to enhance learning across all domains. AI technologies offer broad capabilities to improve learning experiences through advanced simulation models, adaptive learning systems, and efficient genomic data analysis tools. AI currently plays a pivotal role in the development of education and learning in various specialties. In the field of genetics, these technologies can simplify complex concepts, provide interactive experiences, and allow students to handle massive amounts of genomic data in ways that were not previously possible.

This study aims to explore the potential of integrating AI tools into the genetics curriculum within a university-level biology program, highlighting the opportunities and challenges associated with this innovative educational approach.

1. Literature Review:

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) tools into education is rapidly reshaping the learning landscape. While AI holds substantial promise for revolutionizing the teaching and learning process, particularly in complex subjects like genetics, its application is not without its challenges. This review delves deeper into the existing literature, exploring the potential benefits and risks of incorporating AI tools into the genetics curriculum within undergraduate biology programs.

1.1. AI Tools in Education:

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a branch of computer science focused on creating machines that exhibit human-like intelligence. This involves developing systems that can learn, solve problems, and make decisions. A key feature of AI is its ability to learn from data and adapt to new information, rather than relying solely on predefined instructions. AI enables machines to simulate human problem-solving and improve their performance over time through knowledge and experience (Al-Mahdi, 2021).

The ultimate goal of AI research is to create technologies capable of performing complex tasks independently, much like humans do, and potentially surpassing human abilities in some areas. This includes developing systems that can perceive their environment, think, and take actions to achieve specific goals, with the ability to continuously learn and enhance their capabilities.

1.2. AI's Potential for Transformation:

Beyond the general benefits of AI in education highlighted in previous research, the potential of AI in genetics education is particularly noteworthy.

- **Personalized Learning:** AI offers a unique opportunity to personalize learning experiences in genetics. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) can adapt to students' individual learning styles and paces, providing targeted feedback and customized learning paths (Graesser, 2016; Helga, 2023). This can significantly enhance student engagement and understanding, particularly for challenging concepts in genetics.
- **Enhanced Visualization and Interaction:** VR and AR tools have the potential to transform the way students learn about complex genetic processes. These immersive technologies can allow students to explore three-

dimensional models of DNA, genes, and other biological structures, providing a deeper and more engaging learning experience (Westera *et al.*, 2020).

- **Data-Driven Insights:** Learning analytics tools can analyze student data to gain insights into their learning patterns, identifying areas of strength and weakness. This data can inform personalized interventions, improve teaching strategies, and enhance the overall effectiveness of the genetics curriculum (Jia *et al.*, 2021).

- **Automated Assessment:** Automated essay scoring (AES) software offers rapid and efficient feedback on student writing, enabling teachers to concentrate on more interactive and personalized instruction (Adiguzel *et al.*, 2023). This approach can motivate students to engage more actively with their writing tasks and enhance their scientific communication skills.

Al-Mahdi (2021) highlights several key tools and platforms in AI for education, including:

1. Educational programs from MIT and Oxford that offer extensive free content, including lectures and assessments.
 2. TensorFlow, an open-source platform by Google Brain that uses graphical representations for data.
 3. Infosys Nia, an AI platform that automates operational processes.
 4. Wipro HOLMES, which provides cognitive computing services for developing machines like robots and drones.
- These platforms are crucial in advancing the field of AI (Al-Mahdi, 2021).

1.3. Beyond the Hype: Addressing Ethical and Practical Challenges:

While the potential of AI in genetics education is promising, several key challenges must be addressed for successful integration:

- **Bias:** AI algorithms trained on biased datasets can lead to unfair assessments and reinforce educational inequalities. It's essential to ensure diverse and representative training data (Hume, 2017).

- **Privacy and Security:** The use of student data by AI systems raises significant privacy concerns. Clear policies must be established to protect this data and ensure responsible usage (Elliott & Soifer, 2022; Hu & Min, 2023; Saura *et al.*, 2022).

- **Transparency and Explain ability:** Educators need to understand how AI algorithms make decisions to trust and use them effectively. Access to clear explanations and involvement in developing transparent algorithms is crucial (Rapp *et al.*, 2021).

- **Teacher Training and Support:** Integrating AI requires significant changes in teaching approaches. Educators need comprehensive training to understand AI technologies and implement them effectively (Nazaretsky *et al.*, 2022).

- **Impact on the Role of Teachers:** AI should enhance, not replace, the human element in education. Teachers remain vital for guidance, mentoring, and fostering critical thinking (Holmes *et al.*, 2019).

Najafabadi *et al.* (2023) identify several AI tools for genetics education, including:

- **Machine Learning:** Techniques like supervised learning can predict traits such as yield or disease resistance, while unsupervised learning can classify individuals and analyze relationships, such as pedigree analysis.
- **Reinforcement Learning:** This can optimize breeding strategies by evaluating and selecting the best actions.
- **Big Data Analysis:** Employed to analyze large genetic datasets and uncover complex gene-trait relationships.
- **Computational Modeling:** Used to create mathematical models of genetic processes and test hypotheses.

1.4. Moving Forward: Collaborative Solutions for Ethical and Effective Integration:

Addressing these challenges requires collaboration among educators, researchers, developers, and policymakers:

- **Development of Ethical Guidelines:** Establishing comprehensive ethical guidelines for AI in education is essential. These should address data privacy, bias, and transparency, and be widely enforced to ensure responsible use (Jobin *et al.*, 2019).

- **Focus on Human-Centered Design:** AI tools must be designed with a human-centered approach, prioritizing the needs of educators and students. This includes user-friendly interfaces, clear explanations of AI processes, and accessibility for all students (Holmes *et al.*, 2019).

- **Continuous Evaluation and Improvement:** As AI technologies evolve, educators must stay updated on the latest advancements. This requires ongoing evaluation of AI tools and continuous professional development to maintain effective and ethical practices (Ferhan *et al.*, 2023).

- **Openness and Collaboration:** Promoting open access to data, algorithms, and research is vital for advancing AI in education. Collaboration among researchers, developers, and educators is key to overcoming challenges and ensuring ethical integration of AI (Nurkhamimi, 2024).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Analyze current genetics course content, and identify gaps that can be filled using artificial intelligence tools

2.1.1. This Genetics Curriculum provides a comprehensive foundation in both classical and molecular genetics. It blends theoretical concepts with practical applications, equipping students with the skills and knowledge necessary for understanding and exploring the intricacies of inheritance.

2.1.2. Some teaching Gaps in the Current Genetics Curriculum and AI Tools to Address Them:

- Personalized Learning and Adaptation:

Gap: The existing curriculum often fails to accommodate individual learning paces and styles, potentially leaving some students unchallenged or at a disadvantage.

AI Solution: AI-driven personalized learning platforms can tailor content delivery to meet individual needs, tracking progress and identifying areas for improvement. For example, tools like Carnegie Learning's MATHia and Khan Academy utilize machine learning to customize learning pathways.

Interactive and Engaging Content:

Gap: Traditional teaching methods may lack the interactivity necessary for effectively conveying complex genetic concepts.

AI Solution: AI can enhance student engagement through interactive simulations and virtual labs. Platforms like Labster provide virtual reality experiences where students can conduct genetic experiments, facilitating hands-on learning without physical lab constraints.

Real-Time Feedback and Assessment:

Gap: In large classroom settings, providing timely and individualized feedback poses a challenge for instructors.

AI Solution: AI-driven assessment tools can deliver instant feedback on quizzes and assignments. For instance, Gradescope employs AI to assist in grading, enabling students to learn from their errors more effectively.

Advanced Data Analysis:

Gap: Manual analysis of complex genetic data can be labor-intensive and prone to inaccuracies.

AI Solution: AI tools such as DeepVariant and GenoML enhance the accuracy and efficiency of genetic data analysis, employing machine learning algorithms to identify variants and patterns.

Visualization of Genetic Concepts:

Gap: Students often struggle to visualize and comprehend abstract genetic concepts presented in traditional formats.

AI Solution: AI can produce dynamic visualizations and 3D models of genetic processes. AI-powered platforms like BioRender facilitate the creation of professional-quality illustrations, aiding in the understanding of complex mechanisms.

Predictive Modeling and Simulations:

Gap: The curriculum may inadequately address predictive modeling and simulations, which are essential in contemporary genetics research.

AI Solution: AI can develop predictive models for genetic inheritance and disease risk. Tools like PolyPhen and SIFT allow students to investigate the implications of genetic variations through simulations.

- Integration of Current Research:

Gap: Updating the curriculum with the latest genetics research is challenging.

AI Solution: AI-driven research aggregation tools, such as Iris.ai, can assist instructors in incorporating recent findings by summarizing and highlighting key research papers, ensuring the curriculum remains current and relevant.

- Collaboration and Communication:

Gap: Fostering effective collaboration and communication among students in online or hybrid environments can be difficult.

AI Solution: AI-powered tools like Slack with integrated bots and Microsoft Teams can facilitate communication and teamwork, managing group projects, scheduling meetings, and providing reminders to enhance collaborative learning.

- Ethical and Social Implications:

Gap: The curriculum may not adequately address the ethical and social implications of genetic technologies.

AI Solution: AI can create interactive case studies that explore ethical dilemmas and social issues in genetics. AI-driven platforms can simulate real-world scenarios, prompting students to make decisions based on ethical considerations and fostering critical thinking.

- Continuous Improvement and Feedback for Instructors:

Gap: Instructors often lack ongoing feedback on their teaching methods and curriculum effectiveness.

AI Solution: AI analytics tools can provide detailed insights into student performance and engagement. Platforms like Coursera for Campus offer analytics dashboards that help instructors identify effective curriculum components and areas where students struggle, supporting continuous course improvement.

2.2. Create an educational scenario using artificial intelligence tools by designing a virtual experiment in a genetics course. And compare it with real experiences from previous studies:

2.2.1. Hypothetical experiment: knowing the genotype of a child from his parents:

Virtual Experiment Title: Determining the Genotype of a Child from Parental Data

The experience aims to learn how to analyze genetic data, understand the principles of Mendelian genetics, use artificial intelligence tools to make predictions, and practice problem-solving skills.

Virtual DNA sequence data for the ELC allele related to eye color for the parents and the child, as follows:

Parent 1 (Mother): ELC Gene Sequence:

```
5'-ATGGCTATCGTCTTCCATGGCCTTGAGTCTGGCTCCAGTGCTGGTGCTGGTGCT
3'-TACCGATAGCAAGGACGGTACCGACTCAGGACCAGTCGACCGACCGACCGACCG
```

Parent 2 (Father): ELC Gene Sequence:

```
5'-ATGGCTATCGTCTTCCATGGCCTTGAGTCTGGCTCCAGTGCTGGTGCTGGTGCT
3'-TACCGATAGCAAGGACGGTACCGACTCAGGACCAGTCGACCGACCGACCGACCG
```

Child: ELC Gene Sequence:

```
5'-ATGGCTATCGTCTTCCATGGCCTTGAGTCTGGCTCCAGTGCTGGTGCTGGTGCT
3'-TACCGATAGCAAGGACGGTACCGACTCAGGACCAGTCGACCGACCGAC**G**ACCG
```

Genetic Data Analysis Using AI:

To identify the differences in nucleotide bases between the child and the parents in the ELC gene and to determine the impact of these differences on eye color and predict the child's genotype. There are two differences in the nucleotide bases between the child and the parents in the ELC gene. These differences are found at:

- Position 32: Father: C, Mother: G, Child: G

- Position 48: Father: G, Mother: C, Child: C

The difference at position 32 causes a slight change in eye color from brown to blue. The child inherits SNP 2 from the mother, which slightly reduces the ability to bind melanin. This leads to a slight decrease in the amount of melanin in the iris, resulting in a lighter eye color. The difference at position 48 does not cause any change in eye color. The child inherits SNP 3 from the mother, but this SNP does not affect the structure or function of the ELC protein. Therefore, it does not affect the amount of melanin in the iris.

Comparison the hypothetical experiment with Real Experiments:

While this is a hypothetical experiment, the results are consistent with real-world findings on eye color genetics. Studies have identified various SNPs associated with eye color variation, with some SNPs having a more significant impact on melanin production and, thus, eye color.

For example, the following types of studies could be relevant:

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS): These studies analyze large datasets of genetic variations to identify SNPs associated with specific traits, including eye color (Mjølunes *et al.*, 2023; Eugene *et al.*, 2021).

Studies on the genetic basis of eye color: These studies delve into the specific genes and their functions involved in melanin production and eye color variation (Robby *et al.*, 2020; Mjølunes *et al.*, 2023).

By comparing the results of this virtual experience with findings from these real-world studies, students can gain a deeper understanding of the relationship between genetic variation, AI analysis, and phenotypic expression.

Results and discussion

1. AI provides personalized learning, improved visualization, data-driven insights, automated assessment, and capabilities for analyzing extensive genomic data. This aligns with findings from Adiguzel *et al.* (2023), which indicate that AI technologies, particularly chatbots and ChatGPT, can significantly enhance education by delivering personalized learning and instant feedback. Similarly, Valentine *et al.* (2023) discussed the effectiveness of AI-powered assessment tools in providing tailored feedback and adaptive teaching strategies. Kavitha *et al.* (2023) also highlighted AI's capacity to offer personalized education based on individual interests and skills. Additionally, D'Agaro (2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of deep learning in genomic data analysis and gene function prediction, while Al-Jabri *et al.* (2020) emphasized AI's role in the UAE Human Genome Project to expedite genetic research and advance personalized medicine.

However, this perspective contrasts with Cahyanto (2023), who raised significant concerns regarding student data privacy in the application of AI in education. While the benefits of AI in education and genomics focus on personalization and data-driven insights, Cahyanto specifically addresses the risks and challenges associated with

data privacy. This difference likely stems from the varying focuses of the studies, highlighting the need to balance AI's potential with ethical considerations and privacy protections.

2. Suitable AI tools for the genetics curriculum include machine learning for trait prediction and classification, reinforcement learning for breeding strategies, big data analysis, and computational modeling for hypothesis testing. This aligns with **D'Agaro (2018)**, which emphasized the growing application of machine learning in genetics, noting its ability to unravel complex biological processes. The study specifically highlighted machine learning's role in predicting the effects of non-coding variants and the sequence specificity of DNA- and RNA-binding proteins. The proposed AI tools in the genetics curriculum reflect these applications.

Additionally, **Al-Jabri et al. (2020)** discussed AI's contribution to the UAE Human Genome Project, underscoring its capacity to enhance the speed and accuracy of genetic research, develop new diagnostics and therapies, and facilitate personalized medicine. The suggested AI tools support these objectives by enabling genetic data analysis for trait prediction, optimizing breeding strategies, and testing genetic hypotheses.

However, this perspective contrasts with **Valentine et al. (2023)**, which raised concerns about bias in AI-powered assessment tools. While the proposed AI tools hold promise for advancing genetic research and education, ensuring their unbiased implementation is essential. This can be achieved through rigorous testing and validation of AI models, as well as careful consideration of potential biases in the training data. The differences in focus among these studies likely explain the contrasting findings, with Valentine *et al.* emphasizing ethical considerations while the proposed tools highlight their benefits in research and education.

3. AI can address educational gaps by offering personalized learning, interactive content, real-time feedback, advanced data analysis, and visualization of genetic concepts. This aligns with **Kavitha et al. (2023)**, which highlights that AI technology enables a deeper understanding of student needs, facilitating personalized learning and valuable e-learning feedback. Similarly, **Adiguzel et al. (2023)** concluded that AI tools like chatbots and ChatGPT can provide tailored learning experiences and instant feedback, reinforcing AI's role in enhancing education.

Additionally, **Valentine et al. (2023)** noted that AI-powered assessment tools can deliver personalized feedback, further supporting the potential of AI in education. These findings do not negate the concerns raised by **Cahyanto (2023)** regarding data privacy; while AI can improve educational outcomes, it is essential to address the ethical challenges associated with its use, especially concerning data protection. This perspective acknowledges the benefits of AI while emphasizing the need for responsible implementation.

Furthermore, this result aligns with the ethical considerations discussed by **Al-Jabri et al. (2020)**, which advocate for the responsible use of AI in research, particularly with sensitive data like genetic information. While it agrees with **Pepe et al. (2022)** on AI's capability to analyze complex data, it differs in focus; Pepe *et al.* primarily examine AI applications in predicting RNA interactions, while this result emphasizes AI's role in education and visualization of genetic concepts.

The distinction from D'Agaro (2018) arises from differing research focuses, with D'Agaro concentrating on machine learning applications in genetics and genomics, particularly regarding non-coding variants and gene expression control.

4. AI's practical applications in genetics primarily involve the analysis of genetic data. This aligns with **D'Agaro (2018)**, which emphasized the growing use of machine learning, particularly deep learning, in genetics and genomics. The study demonstrated how deep learning can analyze genomic data, predict gene functions, and improve understanding of gene regulation and protein interactions, including the effects of non-coding variants and the specificities of DNA- and RNA-binding proteins.

However, this finding contrasts with **Al-Jabri et al. (2020)**, which focused on the ethical and religious implications of AI in the UAE Human Genome Project. While acknowledging AI's potential for genetic data analysis, this study stressed the necessity of a legal framework to ensure responsible and ethical use of AI in genomic research. The difference in results likely stems from their distinct focuses: **D'Agaro (2018)** examines the technical capabilities of AI in genetics, whereas **Al-Jabri et al. (2020)** investigate the ethical and legal considerations of its application in a specific context. Both studies recognize AI's potential in genetic research, but their perspectives differ based on their objectives.

5. Challenges associated with AI in education include algorithm bias, privacy concerns, transparency issues, and the need for teacher training and support. This finding aligns with **Adiguzel et al. (2023)**, which highlighted similar ethical and practical concerns, particularly regarding algorithm bias and the necessity for teacher training. It also resonates with **Cahyanto (2023)**, who focused on challenges related to student data privacy, proposing strategies such as optimizing personal data regulations, raising awareness about privacy, and providing legal remedies for infringements.

In contrast, **Valentine et al. (2023)** primarily emphasized the benefits of AI in education, such as improved accuracy, personalized feedback, and adapted teaching strategies. Although this study recognized challenges like transparency and bias, it concentrated more on solutions to maximize AI's advantages. The differing focuses of these studies likely account for their contrasting findings; while Valentine *et al.* sought to highlight AI's potential, Adiguzel *et al.* and Cahyanto specifically addressed the associated challenges and ethical concerns.

Kavitha et al. (2023) provides a more balanced perspective, acknowledging both the benefits and drawbacks of AI in education, and emphasizing the importance of collaboration among stakeholders to navigate these opportunities and challenges effectively.

6. Collaborative efforts among educators, researchers, developers, and policymakers are essential for establishing ethical guidelines, ensuring human-centered design, and continuously improving AI tools in education. This aligns with **Kavitha et al. (2023)**, which emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration to leverage the opportunities and address the challenges of AI in education. Both studies recognize the complexity of AI implementation and the need for coordinated efforts to promote its ethical and equitable integration.

Similarly, **Adiguzel et al. (2023)** highlight the necessity of collaboration among educators, researchers, and policymakers to ensure the responsible implementation of AI. While acknowledging AI's potential benefits, both studies stress the importance of a comprehensive approach that addresses concerns about bias, teacher training, and academic integrity.

In contrast, **Cahyanto (2023)** primarily focuses on the challenges of data privacy protection associated with AI in education. Although it recognizes the need for regulations and safeguards, it does not emphasize collaborative efforts among stakeholders as a key solution. The differing results likely stem from the specific focuses of each study; Cahyanto concentrates on legal and technical aspects of data privacy, while **Kavitha et al.** and **Adiguzel et al.** advocate for a broader, collaborative approach to ensure the ethical and effective development of AI in education.

Conclusion

This research investigates the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) tools into undergraduate biology curricula, highlighting AI's potential to transform teaching and learning. AI can personalize educational experiences, making the curriculum more accessible and engaging for diverse learners. Interactive simulations and virtual labs enhance engagement by providing hands-on experiences that are often impractical in traditional settings. AI-driven assessment tools enable real-time feedback, allowing instructors to identify learning gaps and tailor their approaches. Additionally, AI enhances students' ability to analyze complex genomic data, promoting a deeper understanding of genetic concepts and their real-world applications.

However, challenges remain, including concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the need for transparency. Educators must receive comprehensive training to effectively deploy these tools while recognizing their limitations. AI should complement, rather than replace, educators' roles in fostering critical thinking and scientific inquiry.

Future efforts must involve collaboration among educators, researchers, developers, and policymakers to establish ethical guidelines for AI use in education. Human-centered design principles should ensure that AI tools are user-friendly and accessible. Continuous evaluation and refinement of these tools are essential to address emerging challenges and maximize effectiveness. By embracing these collaborative strategies, we can leverage AI to enhance genetics education, preparing future biologists to confront complex issues in agriculture, environmental conservation, and biomedicine. The goal is to create a more inclusive and engaging learning experience for all students.

Recommendations:

1. Conduct targeted research on the effects of specific AI tools (e.g., virtual lab simulations, AI-powered data analysis software, personalized learning platforms) on student learning outcomes, engagement, and attitudes toward genetics.
2. Design and implement AI-enhanced curriculum modules, rigorously testing their effectiveness through controlled experiments compared to traditional teaching methods.
3. Develop best practices and ethical frameworks for the responsible use of AI in education, potentially creating a "code of ethics" specifically for AI in genetics education.
4. Explore effective models for training educators to integrate AI tools into their teaching, emphasizing pedagogy, tool selection, and curriculum design.
5. Conduct longitudinal research to evaluate the long-term effects of AI integration on students' understanding of genetics, critical thinking skills, and overall academic performance.

References

- [1] Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(3), ep429. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152>

- [2] Al Mahdi, Magdy Salah Taha (2021). Education and future challenges in light of the philosophy of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Digital Educational and Learning Technology*, Volume 2, Issue 5, 97-140. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jetdl.2021.113089.1018>
- [3] Al-Jabri, Zahra Muhammad Omar, and Ismail Al-Issawi (2020). Artificial intelligence and its role in the UAE human genome project (a study in light of Islamic jurisprudence). *Al-Sirat Magazine*, Volume 22, Issue 1, 205-246, <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/412/22/1/120684>
- [4] Cahyanto, I. (2023). Privacy Challenges in Using Wearable Technology in Education Literature Review. *Formosa Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2(6), 909–928. <https://doi.org/10.55927/fjas.v2i6.4272>
- [5] D’Agaro, E. (2018). Artificial intelligence used in genome analysis studies. *The Euro Biotech Journal*, 2(2), 78-88. <https://doi.10.2478/ebtj-2018-0012>
- [6] Elliott, D., & Soifer, E. (2022). AI technologies, privacy, and security. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 826737. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.826737>
- [7] Eugene, Lin., Shih-Jen, Tsai., Po-Hsiu, Kuo., Yu-Li, Liu., Albert, C., Yang., Albert, C., Yang., Matthew, P., Conomos., Timothy, A., Thornton. (2021). Genome-wide association study in the Taiwan Biobank identifies four novel genes for human height: NABP2, RASA2, RNF41 and SLC39A5.. *Human Molecular Genetics*, <https://doi.10.1093/HMG/DDAB202>
- [8] Ferhan, Girgin, Sagin., Ali, Burak, Özkaya., Funda, İfakat, Tengiz., Ö., G., Geyik., Caner, Geyik. (2023). 1. Current evaluation and recommendations for the use of artificial intelligence tools in education. doi: 10.1515/tjb-2023-0254.
- [9] Graesser, A. C. (2016). Conversations with auto tutor help students learn. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(1), 124-132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-015-0086-4>
- [10] Helga, Tawil-Souri. (2023). Chatbots in Education: The impact of Artificial Intelligence based ChatGPT on Teachers and Students <https://doi.10.59287/ijanser.739>
- [11] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Boston: Centre for Curriculum Redesign. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23207-8_20
- [12] Hu, Y., & Min, H. K. (2023). The dark side of artificial intelligence in service: The “watching-eye” effect and privacy concerns. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 110, 103437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2023.103437>
- [13] Hume, K.H., (2017). Artificial intelligence is the future—but it’s not immune to human bias. *Macleans*. Available at: <https://www.macleans.ca/opinion/artificial-intelligence-is-the-future-but-its-not-immune-to-human-bias> (Accessed 2 February 2021).
- [14] Jia, J., Zhang, D., & Gao, F. (2021). Learning analytics and artificial intelligence in mathematics education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 107, 101831. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1631/FITEE.2300089>
- [15] Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(9), 389-399. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2>
- [16] Kavitha, KB., Pradeep Kumar T.K., Nithiya S., Suguna A. (2023). Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, Volume 10, Issue 05, ISSN: 2393-9842, <https://doi.org/10.26562/ijirae>
- [17] Mjølsnes, Nina, Salvo., Jeppe, Dyrberg, Andersen., Kirstin, Janssen., Olivia, Luxford, Meyer., Thomas, Berg., Claus, Børsting., Gunn-Hege, Olsen. (2023). Association between Variants in the OCA2-HERC2 Region and Blue Eye Colour in HERC2 rs12913832 AA and AG Individuals. *Genes*, <https://doi.10.3390/genes14030698>
- [18] Najafabadi, Mohsen Yoosefzadeh., Mohsen Hesami., Milad Eskandari (2023). Machine Learning-Assisted Approaches in Modernized Plant Breeding Programs. *Genes*, <https://doi.10.3390/genes14040777>
- [19] Nazaretsky, T., Ariely, M., Cukurova, M., & Alexandron, G. (2022). Teachers’ trust in AI-powered educational technology and a professional development program to improve it. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(4), 914-931. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13232>
- [20] Nurkhamimi, Zainuddin. (2024). Does Artificial Intelligence Cause More Harm than Good in Schools?. doi: 10.15282/ijleal.v14i1.10432
- [21] Pepe G, Appierdo R, Carrino C, Ballesio F, Helmer-Citterich M and Gherardini PF (2022), Artificial intelligence methods enhance the discovery of RNA interactions. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9:1000205. <https://doi.10.3389/fmolb.2022.1000205>

- [22] Rapp, A., Curti, L., & Boldi, A. (2021). The human side of human-chatbot interaction: A systematic literature review of ten years of research on text-based chatbots. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 151, 102630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2021.102630>
- [23] Robby, Alphonsus, Halim., Andi, Wahyu, Rahardjo, Emanuel. (2020). A Review of Iris Recognition System ROI and Accuracy. <https://doi.10.1109/ICOSTA48221.2020.1570615087>
- [24] Saura, J. R., Ribeiro-Soriano, D., & Palacios-Marqués, D. (2022). Assessing behavioral data science privacy issues in government artificial intelligence deployment. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(4), 101679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101679>
- [25] Valentine, Joseph, Owan., Delight, O., Idika., Bassey, Asuquo, Bassey. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *Eurasia journal of mathematics, science and technology education* <https://doi.10.29333/ejmste/13428>
- [26] Westera, W., Prada, R., Mascarenhas, S., Santos, P. A., Dias, J., Guimaraes, M., Georgiadis, K., Nyamsuren, E., Bahreini, K., Yumak, Z., Christyowidiasmoro, C., Dascalu, M., Gutu-Robu, G., & Ruseti, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence moving serious gaming: Presenting reusable game AI components. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(1), 351-380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09968-2>